

Formation and Characterization of the Gallium and Indium Subhydride Molecules Ga₂H₂ and In₂H₂: A Matrix **Isolation Study**

Hans-Jörg Himmel,*,† Laurent Manceron,‡ Anthony J. Downs,§ and Pluton Pullumbill

Contribution from the Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Karlsruhe, Engesserstrasse, Geb. 30.45, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany, LADIR/Equipe de Spectrochimie Moléculaire, CNRS UMR, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Case courrier 49, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252, Paris Cedex 05, France, Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QR, United Kingdom, and Air Liquide, Centre de Recherche Claude-Delorme, 1, Chemin de la porte des Loges, BP 126, Les Loges-en Josas, France

Received October 2, 2001

Abstract: Ga₂ reacts spontaneously with H₂ in solid Ar matrixes at 12 K to form the cyclic molecule $Ga(\mu-H)_2Ga$. In₂ does not react with H₂ under similar conditions, but irradiation at wavelengths near 365 nm induces the formation of the corresponding indium hydride, $\ln(\mu-H)_2\ln$. The molecules have been identified and characterized by the IR spectra displayed by matrixes containing the metal and H₂, D₂, HD, or $H_2 + D_2$; they each have planar, dihydrido-bridged structures with D_{2h} symmetry, as endorsed by comparison of the measured spectra (i) with the properties forecast by quantum chemical calculations and (ii) with the spectra of known gallium and indium hydrides. Both are photolabile under visible light ($\lambda > 450$ nm): green light (λ = ca. 546 nm) causes Ga(μ -H)₂Ga to isomerize to a mixture of HGaGaH and H₂GaGa, whereas broad-band visible irradiation ($\lambda > 450$ nm) of In(μ -H)₂In gives rise to the isomer HInInH, together with InH. The isomerization can be reversed by UV photolysis ($\lambda = ca. 365$ nm) of HGaGaH, H₂GaGa, and HInInH or by near-IR photolysis ($\lambda > 700$ nm) of HGaGaH and H₂GaGa.

Introduction

Since the first report of gallane, $[GaH_3]_n$, as an authenticated product in 1989,¹ a crop of other gallium hydrides has been synthesized and characterized.² The simplest, mononuclear species, GaH, GaH₂, and GaH₃, can be generated only as transients in the gas phase³⁻⁶ but may be preserved in solid noble gas matrixes following the reaction of Ga atoms with H₂ molecules or H atoms.⁷⁻⁹ Stabilization results (i) from aggregation, as in the dimeric $H_2Ga(\mu-H)_2GaH_2$, which has been characterized in the gas phase at near-ambient temperatures;¹ (ii) from substitution of hydride by other ligands, as in [H₂-

- ^{II} Centre de Recherche Claude-Delorme.
- (a) Downs, A. J.; Goode, M. J.; Pulham, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1936–1937.
 (b) Pulham, C. R.; Downs, A. J.; Goode, M. J.; Rankin,
- D.W. H.; Robertson, H. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5149–5162.
 (2) Taylor, M. J.; Brothers, P. J. In Chemistry of Aluminium, Gallium, Indium and Thallium; Downs, A. J., Ed.; Blackie: Glasgow, U.K., 1993; pp 111– 247.

- Downs, A. J.; Pulham, C. R. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 41, 171–232.
 Downs, A. J.; Pulham, C. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1994, 23, 175–184.
 Downs, A. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 189, 59–100.
 Aldridge, S.; Downs, A. J. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3305–3365.
 Xiao, Z. L.; Hauge, R. H.; Margrave, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 642– (8) Pullumbi, P.; Mijoule, C.; Manceron, L.; Bouteiller, Y. Chem. Phys. 1994,
- 185 13-24 Pullumbi, P.; Bouteiller, Y.; Manceron, L.; Mijoule, C. Chem. Phys. 1994, (9)
- 185, 25-37.

 $GaCl_{n}^{10}$ and $HGa(BH_4)_2$;¹¹ or (iii) from complexation,^{5,6,12} as in Me₃N·GaH₃¹³ and HC(CH₂CH₂)₃N·GaH₃.¹⁴

On the other hand, indium hydrides are still a rarity.^{5,6} The mononuclear molecules InH_n (n = 1-3) have all been characterized either in the gas phase $(n = 1)^{5,6}$ or in the matrix-isolated state,^{8,9} but quantum chemical calculations offer little prospect of lasting stability to indane, even as an oligomer or polymer, [InH₃]_n.¹⁵ Stabilization of InH₃ may be achieved by com-

plexation with a suitably strong and bulky base, e.g., :CN(Mes)- $C_{2}H_{4}N(Mes)$ (Mes = 1,3,5-Me₃C₆H₂),^{16a} :CN(Prⁱ)C₂Me₂N-(Prⁱ),^{16b} and PCy₃.^{16c} Otherwise greater stability can be achieved

- (10) (a) Johnsen, E.; Downs, A. J.; Greene, T. M.; Souter, P. F.; Aarset, K.; Page, E. M.; Rice, D. A.; Richardson, A. N.; Brain, P. T.; Rankin, D. W. H.; Pulham, C. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39*, 719–727. (b) Johnsen, E.; Downs, H., I dinan, C. K. *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, *57*, 117 (2):100 Jointsch, E., Downs, A. J.; Goode, M. J.; Greene, T. M.; Hinmel, H.-J.; Müller, M.; Parsons, S.; Pulham, C. R. *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, *40*, 4755–4761.
- (11) (a) Downs, A. J.; Greene, T. M.; Harman, L. A.; Souter, P. F.; Brain, P. T.; Pulham, C. R.; Rankin, D. W. H.; Robertson, H. E.; Hofmann, M.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 1799–1809. (b) Downs, A. J.; Harman, L. A.; Thomas, P. D. P.; Pulham, C. R. *Polyhedron* **1995**, *14*, Control of the state of the state of the state. 935 - 945
- (12) Gardiner, M. G.; Raston, C. L. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1997, *166*, 1–34.
 (13) Brain, P. T.; Brown, H. E.; Downs, A. J.; Greene, T. M.; Johnsen, E.; Parsons, S.; Rankin, D. W. H.; Smart, B. A.; Tang, C. Y. J. Chem. Soc., *Dalton Trans.* 1998, 3685–3691.
- (14) Atword, J. L.; Bott, S. G.; Elms, F. M.; Jones, C.; Raston, C. L. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 3792–3793.
- (15) Hunt, P.; Schwerdtfeger, P. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 2085-2088.
- (16) (a) Abernethy, C. D.; Cole, M. L.; Jones, C. Organometallics 2000, 19, 4852–4857. (b) Hibbs, D. E.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Jones, C.; Smithies, N. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1998, 869-870. (c) Hibbs, D. E.; Jones, C.; Smithies, N. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1999, 185-186.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[†] Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Karlsruhe

[‡] Université Pierre et Marie Curie.

[§] University of Oxford.

only by substitution of one or more hydride ligands and/or Coulombic interactions, as in the anionic complexes M⁺[R₃-InHInR₃]⁻ [where M = Li(Me₂NC₂H₄NMe₂)₂ and R = Me^{17a} or M = K and R = $CH_2CMe_3^{17b}$], which are notable for featuring In-H-In bridges.

Subhydrides of the group 13 elements (M) of the type [MH]₂ have attracted theoretical attention despite a dearth of hard experimental fact. Diborene, B₂H₂, has a linear triplet ground state involving little or no B-B double bonding.¹⁸ By contrast, a bis(μ -hydrido) structure A with D_{2h} symmetry is calculated to be the most stable form for all the other group 13 elements.^{4,6,19,20} Instead of the linear form, which is now only a transition state, a *trans*-bent isomer B (C_{2h}) appears as a second minimum on the PE surface, and there are two other possible isomers, namely, the asymmetric M-MH₂, structure C (C_{2v}), and mono-H-bridged HM(μ -H)M, structure D (C_s), giving minima at energies not far above the global minimum. The IR spectra of Ar matrixes formed by condensing laser-ablated Al atoms with H₂ include absorptions attributable to isomers A and possibly D for $M = Al^{21}$ Similar experiments with thermally evaporated gallium have suggested7 that the dimer Ga₂ reacts spontaneously with H_2 to form isomer A for M = Ga.



Here we describe the results of detailed experiments aimed at investigating the thermally and/or photolytically activated reactions that occur in Ar matrixes containing M₂ dimers (M = Ga or In) and H_2 . The course of events has been tracked through the IR spectra of the matrixes, and the identities of the products have been confirmed (i) by carrying out experiments with D_2 , HD, or an H_2/D_2 mixture in place of H_2 ; (ii) by comparison with the results of quantum chemical calculations at different levels of theory; and (iii) by reference to the properties of related molecules. Hence we will show that H₂ adds to Ga₂ spontaneously, and to In₂ only on photolysis at λ = ca. 365 nm, to form the dihydrido-bridged molecules $Ga(\mu$ -H)₂Ga, 1a, and In(μ -H)₂In, 1b, respectively. Neither of these products is photostable. Irradiation with visible light ($\lambda > 450$ nm) causes isomerization to the trans-bent species HGaGaH, 2a, and HInInH, 2b, in a process that can be reversed by UV photolysis (λ = ca. 365 nm). In the case of Ga(μ -H)₂Ga irradiation with green light ($\lambda = ca. 546 \text{ nm}$) gives access not only to the second isomer 2a but also to a third believed to be H2GaGa, 3a.

- (17) (a) Hibbs, D. E.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Jones, C.; Smithies, N. A. Organo-metallics 1998, 17, 3108–3110. (b) Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Chao, S.-H. L.; Churchill, M. R.; See, R. F. Organometallics 1992, 11, 1486–1491.
- (18) (a) Knight, L. B., Jr.; Kerr, K.; Miller, P. K.; Arrington, C. A. J. Phys.
- (16) (a) Klight, L. D. J., Kell, K., Millel, T. K., Ahligohi, C. A. J. Thys. Chem. 1995, 99, 16842–16848. (b) Tague, T. J., Jr.; Andrews, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4970–4976.
 (19) (a) Palágyi, Z.; Grev, R. S.; Schaefer, H. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 1936–1943. (b) Palágyi, Z.; Schaefer, H. F., III Chem. Phys. Lett. 1993, 203, 195–200. (c) Yamaguchi, Y.; DeLeeuw, B. J.; Richards, C. A., Jr.; Schaefer, H. F., III; Frenking, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11922-11930.
- (20) Treboux, G.; Barthelat, J.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5, 4870-4878. Stephens, J. C.; Bolton, E. E.; Schaefer, H. F., III; Andrews, L. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 107, 119–123. (21)

Experimental Section

Gallium (Aldrich, purity 99.9999%) and indium (Aldrich, purity 99.999%) were each evaporated from either a tantalum or a graphite cell or a tungsten filament that was heated resistively to ca. 900 °C. Hence the metal vapor was co-deposited with an excess of H2-doped argon on a CsI window or the face of a highly polished copper block cooled to 10-12 K by means of a closed-cycle refrigerator (Air Products CS 202 or Leybold LB 510).^{8,9,22-24} The proportions M:H₂: Ar (M = Ga or In) were typically 0.5:0.5:100 and 0.5:1:100, as estimated with a microbalance, while deposition rates were ca. 2 mmol of matrix gas h⁻¹, continued over a period of 1.5 h. Similar experiments were carried out with D₂, HD, or a 1:1 H₂/D₂ mixture in place of H₂.

The following materials were used as received from the sources and with the stated purities listed: H₂ (Air Liquide, 99.995%), D₂ (Isotec, 99.5%), HD (prepared by reaction of LiAlH₄ with D₂O and passed through a liquid N2 trap, ca. 90% HD and 10% H2), and argon (Messer, purity 4.8). Gas mixtures of argon with the different isotopic versions of dihydrogen were prepared by standard manometric methods.

Photolysis was brought about with a high-pressure Xe/Hg arc or a medium-pressure Hg lamp (Philips LP 125) operating at 200 or 125 W, respectively, IR radiation being absorbed by a water filter so as to minimize any heating effects. Light of the desired wavelengths was delivered via appropriate interference or Pyrex filters.

IR spectra of the matrix samples were recorded mostly in reflection at resolutions ranging from 0.5 to 0.05 cm⁻¹ and with a wavenumber accuracy of ± 0.1 cm⁻¹. Three different FTIR spectrometers were used, viz., a Nicolet Magna-IR 560 (at Oxford), a Bruker 113v (at Karlsruhe), and a Bruker 120 (at Paris).

Quantum chemical calculations were performed with the aid of the GAUSSIAN 98 program package.²⁵ Use was made of ab initio (MP2) as well as pure DFT (B3PW91) and the B3LYP hybrid method, which has been shown to give satisfactory results for small aluminum and gallium compounds.²⁶ A 6-311G(d) basis set was used for Ga, and a LANL2DZ one with additional d-polarization functions (exponent 0.5) for In.

Results

The vapors over gallium and indium metals at ca. 900 °C are known to contain not only atoms but also a small but significant fraction of the dimers Ga₂ and In₂, respectively. Previous spectroscopic studies have shown these dimers to be trapped in the matrixes formed by co-condensing the metal vapors with an excess of a noble gas.^{7,27,28} The photoinduced reactions of the atoms with H₂ and H atoms under these conditions have already been described.7-9 Here we are concerned exclusively with the dimers and their reaction with

- (22) Himmel, H.-J.; Downs, A. J.; Greene, T. M.; Andrews, L. Organometallics 2000, 19, 1060-1070.
- (23)See, for example: Zumbusch, A.; Schnöckel, H. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 108, 8092 - 8100
- (24) Manceron, L.; Loutellier, A.; Perchard, J. P. Chem. Phys. 1985, 92, 75-89.
- (25) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Gonzalez, C.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 98, revision A.3; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1998.
- (26)Jursic, B. S. J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM 1998, 428, 61-66.
- (27) Douglas, M. A.; Hauge, R. H.; Margrave, J. L. J. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 2945 - 2947
- (28) Froben, F. W.; Schulze, W.; Kloss, U. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1983, 99, 500-502. Balasubramanian, K.; Li, J. J. Chem. Phys. **1988**, 88, 4979–4986.



Figure 1. Infrared spectra in the region $2300-700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for an Ar matrix containing Ga vapor co-deposited with H₂: Ga/H₂/Ar = 0.6/1/100 deposited at 14 K for 90 min. The absorbance scale in the 1100-950 cm⁻¹ region is divided by 10 for ease of presentation.

 H_2 , and with the photochemistries of the products. The results will be reported in turn for gallium and indium. Spectroscopic features have been assigned on the basis of the following criteria: (i) their growth and decay characteristics in response to photolysis of the matrix for different times and under different conditions, e.g., of reagent concentration; (ii) comparisons with the results of control experiments that did not include one of the reagents or with the spectra of related species; (iii) consideration of the selection rules expected to govern the IR activity of a given molecule; (iv) the observed effects of the naturally occurring ⁶⁹Ga and ⁷¹Ga isotopes and of deuteration of the dihydrogen precursor; and (v) appraisal of the measured spectroscopic properties in the light of those forecast by DFT calculations.

Digallium, Ga₂. As demonstrated first by Xiao et al.,⁷ cocondensation of gallium vapor with an excess of argon doped with different amounts of dihydrogen (with H2:Ar molar ratios varying from 1:1000 to 150:1000) gave matrixes that displayed new IR absorption bands. These features are quite distinct from the weak bands associated with the products formed by the reaction of gallium atoms with hydrogen,^{8,9} water,²⁹ carbon monoxide,³⁰ or carbon dioxide,³¹ the last three being present as trace impurities (see Figure 1). The most intense of the new bands appeared at 1002 cm⁻¹ with others weaker by an order of magnitude or more located at 2200, 1875, 1855, 1750, 1686, 1176, 951, 906.5, 880, 752/747, and 718 cm⁻¹. A very characteristic growth pattern became evident when the proportion of gallium in the matrix was increased. Typically, it was observed that the strongest band experienced 4-40-fold increases of intensity when the Ga:Ar molar ratio was raised from 1:1000 to 2:1000 and next to 6:1000. Such a quadratic dependence on the metal concentration gives clear notice that the new species contains two metal atoms.

Systematic studies of the effects of hydrogen concentration and of selective photoexcitation make it possible to group all the new absorptions in four sets associated with four distinct molecules labeled **1a**, **2a**, **3a**, and **4a** in Table 1. The last family due to **4a** consists of weak absorptions near 1875, 1855, and 718 cm⁻¹ that exhibited marked growth with respect to the other families when the hydrogen concentration was increased. **4a**

Table 1. Wavenumbers of IR Bands Observed for Ar Matrixes Containing Ga₂ and $H_2/D_2/HD^a$

				photolysis			
H ₂	D_2	HD	deposition	$\lambda =$ 546 nm	$\lambda =$ 400 nm	$\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$ or >700 nm	absorber
2200	1592	2040	t	Ļ		Ť	1 a
1875				t			4a
1855				t			4a
1765.1	1275.8	1758.0		t	t	Ļ	2a
1752.1	1260.1	1269.6		t	t	Ļ	2a
1686.1	1206.4			t	Ļ	Ļ	3a
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 1177.4\\ 1176.1\\ 1174.8 \end{array} \right\}$	$\left.\begin{array}{c}907.2\\905.9\\904.8\end{array}\right\}$	≈ 1128 1112	t	Ļ		t	1a
1002	728	953	t	Ļ		t	1a
951	951	951	t				5a
906.5	653.6	849	t	Ļ		Ť	1a
880	638.4	675.7	t	Ļ		Ť	1a
ך 752.1	ך 540.3	660.6 ך					
747.0 ∫	536.9 🕽	655.4 ∫		t	t	ţ	2a
718				Ť			4a

^a Wavenumbers are given in reciprocal centimeters.

must therefore contain more hydrogen than the other species **1a**, **2a**, and **3a**, the absorptions of which maintained a more or less linear dependence of intensity on the dihydrogen concentration. While the bands belonging to these three products are all characterized by the same second- and first-order dependences on the Ga and H₂ concentrations, respectively, they can be differentiated easily by the very specific photosensitivity of the products to near-IR, visible, or UV light. Species **5a** with only one weak absorption at 951 cm⁻¹ showed no shift upon isotopic substitution and is likely therefore to arise from a reaction between Ga₂ and traces of H₂O in the matrix.

The most prominent absorptions observed immediately after deposition of the matrixes were those at 2200, 1176, 1002, 906.5, and 880 cm⁻¹ attributable to species **1a**. On the other hand, exposure of the matrix to 546 nm light caused the efficient conversion of this molecule to **2a** and **3a**. Blue light with wavelengths of 400–440 nm was observed to induce a slow and limited reversion to **1a**, a change accomplished completely with either near-UV light ($\lambda = \text{ca}$. 365 nm) or near-IR light ($\lambda > 700 \text{ nm}$) (Figure 2).

Species 1a is distinguished by a very strong IR absorption centered near 1002 cm⁻¹, which displayed a large shift (to 728 cm^{-1}) when H₂ was replaced by D₂ but a much smaller one (to 953 cm⁻¹) when H₂ was replaced by HD. These absorptions had been reported previously by Xiao et al.,⁷ who linked them, as we do, to the dihydrido-bridged species $Ga(\mu-H)_2Ga$. The present study has brought to light several more signals attributable to the same molecule, namely, a relatively strong absorption near 906.5 cm^{-1} and three much weaker ones near 2200, 1176, and 880 cm⁻¹ (Figures 1 and 2). As illustrated in Figure 2, each of the bands presented either a complex and asymmetric profile (as at 906.5 cm^{-1}) or a multiplicity of closely spaced satellites adjacent to the main feature. The intensity distribution between the neighboring signals did not change even when the reagent concentrations were varied widely but did alter in response to different sample treatments (namely, annealing or back-conversion after the various photolytic cycles). It follows that the signal multiplicity observed for each transition can be related to inhomogeneous broadening and extensive trapping site effects for a highly deformable molecule. Systematic studies located the multiplet component pertaining in each case to the main

⁽²⁹⁾ Hauge, R. H.; Kauffman, J. W.; Margrave, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6005–6011.

⁽³⁰⁾ Himmel, H.-J.; Downs, A. J.; Green, J. C.; Greene, T. M. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 3642–3654.
(31) Xu, C.; Manceron, L.; Perchard, J. P. J. Mol. Struct. 1993, 300, 83–92.



Figure 2. (a) Infrared spectra in the region $1200-600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for an Ar matrix containing Ga vapor co-deposited with 1% of different dihydrogen isotopomers: (upper spectrum) H₂, (middle spectrum) HD, and (lower spectrum) D₂. (b) Infrared spectra in the region $2250-2040 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for Ga + H₂, Ga + HD, and Ga + D₂ in an Ar matrix: Ga/H₂/Ar = Ga/HD/Ar = Ga/D₂/Ar = 0.3/1/100.

trapping site. For the sake of simplicity, the rest of the discussion will refer only to these wavenumbers (as in Table 1).

Experiments were performed with mixtures of H₂ and D₂ but under no circumstances did the samples reveal absorptions different from those observed in experiments involving H₂ and D₂ severally. There was in particular no trace of an absorption implying the formation of a mixed hydride-deuteride species. Hence concerted addition of H₂ to Ga₂ appears to be confirmed. With due allowance for the possible effects of matrix splitting, it is noteworthy too that the weak absorption at 1176 cm⁻¹ associated with the H₂ product displayed a triplet pattern with closely spaced components at 1177.4, 1176.1, and 1174.8 cm^{-1} (see Figure 2b). The relative intensities of the components approximate the ratios 2.3:3.0:1 expected for a statistical mixture of the naturally occurring isotopes ⁶⁹Ga (60.1%) and ⁷¹Ga (39.9%) distributed over two equivalent sites in 1a. A similar pattern can be discerned in the band centered near 907 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of the D_2 product.

Comparison of the outcome of experiments with D_2 with that of experiments involving either H_2 or HD discloses a striking difference in the product yield achieved immediately after sample deposition. Depending on the experimental conditions, the bands due to the perdeuterated version of **1a** initially reached only 30–40% of their expected intensities. However, the bands were observed to grow slowly over a period of several hours with the unirradiated sample kept at 10–12 K, to reach ultimately the intensity levels consistent with the normal product yield. This process was accelerated if the sample was exposed to additional IR radiation (coming from either the spectrometer source or a more luminous external IR source). Experiments



Figure 3. Infrared spectra in the region $1100-700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for gallium vapor co-deposited with $H_2/D_2/Ar = 0.4/0.6/100$ for 120 min at 14 K: (a) immediately after deposition; (b) after 12 h; and (c) after 20 h and exposure to IR light.



Figure 4. Infrared spectra in the regions 1800-1650 and 1020-990 cm⁻¹ for an Ar matrix containing Ga vapor co-deposited with H₂ showing the effects of photolysis.

run with band-pass interference filters identified the wavenumber range for efficient conversion as lying between 4500 and 3200 cm⁻¹, a domain where no significant absorption could be detected. This kinetic isotope effect is best exemplified in two separate experiments carried out with matrixes containing comparable amounts of H_2 and D_2 and submitted to the same experimental conditions, with the results illustrated in Figure 3.

Species 2a. Although this product was present in low concentrations directly after deposition, its formation was observed to be fostered by photochemical processes at the expense of 1a (see Figures 1 and 4). Its strongest IR absorptions correspond to features reported previously by Xiao et al.7 and tentatively assigned by them to a Ga₃H₂ molecule. It is characterized by a pair of absorptions of roughly equal intensity at 1765.1 and 1752.1 cm^{-1} and a single absorption near 752 cm⁻¹. The first two thus occur in the region associated with the stretching fundamentals of terminal Ga-H bonds where the gallium is in a low formal oxidation state, and the wavenumber of the third falls in the region characteristic of H-Ga-H bending modes.^{7–9,22,32,33} Indeed, the wavenumbers of all three bands are not far from those of the three fundamentals of the GaH₂ triatomic.⁸ Replacement of H₂ by D₂ was observed to result in shifts to 1275.8, 1260.1, and 540.3 cm⁻¹, corresponding



Figure 5. Infrared spectra recorded for an Ar matrix containing In vapor and 5% H₂: (a) following photolysis at $\lambda = 365$ nm; (b) after photolysis with light having $\lambda > 450$ nm; (c) after broad-band UV–visible photolysis (200 $\leq \lambda \leq 800$ nm); and (d) after a second cycle of photolysis at $\lambda = 365$ nm.

to H/D = 1.3835:1, 1.3904:1, and 1.3918:1, respectively. By contrast, experiments with HD gave bands with intermediate wavenumbers, viz., 1758, 1269.6, and 655.4 cm⁻¹.

Species 3a. This is a very minor product after deposition. Again, however, it is produced at the expense of **1a** on excitation with green light ($\lambda = \text{ca. 546 nm}$), but is converted to **2a** either by annealing of the matrix at temperatures up to 25–30 K or by irradiation with near-UV light ($\lambda = \text{ca. 365 nm}$). All the evidence available suggests therefore that **3a** is a third tautomeric form of Ga₂H₂. It was observed to be characterized by just one sharp IR band. As reported previously,⁷ this is near 1686.1 cm⁻¹, a wavenumber close to those of the ν (Ga–H) modes of the gallium(II) hydrides HGaOH (1669.8 cm⁻¹),²⁹ CH₃GaH (1719.7 cm⁻¹),²² and HGaNH₂ (1721.8 cm⁻¹).³² With D₂ in place of H₂, the signal exhibits the expected shift to lower wavenumber, appearing at 1206.4 (H/D = 1.3976:1), but the product derived from the reaction with HD could not be clearly identified.

Diindium, In₂. The IR spectrum recorded after co-deposition of In vapor with an excess of argon doped with 5% H₂ is illustrated in Figure 5a. There were no signs of any products originating in the reactions of either In atoms or In₂ molecules with H₂, the only detectable absorptions being attributable to traces of impurities (H₂O, CO₂, and CO) that could be reduced to a minimum but never wholly excluded and to addition products of these with the In atoms, viz. InCO,³⁰ In(CO)₂,³⁰ and In·OH₂.²⁹

Upon photolysis of the matrix at wavelengths near 365 nm, an intense IR absorption appeared at 954.8 cm^{-1} together with much weaker ones at 2020.8, 1079.1, 848, and 800.0 cm^{-1} (see

Table 2. Wavenumbers of IR Bands Observed for Ar Matrixes Containing In₂ and H₂/D₂/HD^a

				photolysis		
H_2	D_2	HD	$\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$	$\lambda > 450 \text{ nm}$	$\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$	absorber
2020.8			1	ţ	1	1a
1518	1081			1	Ļ	3b
1387.4	996.2	1387.4, 996.2		↑	1	InH
1079.1	815.1		1	Ļ	1	1b
1040	1040	1040	1	Ļ	Ļ	5b
954.8	693.1	899.6	1	Ļ	1	1b
914	914	914	1	Ļ	Ļ	5b
848	630.1	611.9	1	Ļ	1	1b
800.0	605.4	582.3	t	ţ	t	1b

^a Wavenumbers are given in reciprocal centimeters.

Figure 5a). The signals built up gradually with the maximal intensities being reached only after photolysis times as long as 1.5 h. Maintaining constant relative intensities, both in buildup and decay (q.v.), the features are attributed to a common first reaction product **1b**. Clear evidence of a second distinct species **5b** came from the simultaneous growth of two other bands, one relatively strong appearing as a multiplet centered near 914 cm⁻¹ and the other very weak appearing at ca. 1040 cm⁻¹. In addition to these features, the spectrum of the photolyzed matrix included a third family of bands absorbing at 1487.1, 713.7, and 547.6 cm⁻¹ identifiable with the HInOH molecule resulting, as reported previously,²⁹ from the photoinduced tautomerization of the adduct In•OH₂. These and other spectroscopic details are listed in Table 2.

Subsequent irradiation of the matrix with broad-band visible light ($\lambda > 450$ nm) caused a sharp decline in the intensities of the bands due to **1b**, **5b**, and HInOH (see Figure 5c). Simultaneously an absorption due to a new product **3b** appeared at 1518 cm⁻¹. The wavenumber suggests strongly that it represents the In–H stretching mode of a terminal In(II)-H fragment [cf. the wavenumbers (in reciprocal centimeters) of other indium(II) hydrides: HInH 1548.6/1615.6,⁸ HInOH 1486.3,²⁹ HInNH₂ 1530.1,³² HInPH₂ 1546.4,³³ and CH₃InH 1545.9 ²²]. Visible photolysis also resulted in the appearance and growth of three other IR signals. One of these occurred at 1387.4 cm⁻¹, a wavenumber symptomatic of the diatomic hydride InH.⁸ The other two, occurring at 735.1 and 524.6 cm⁻¹, could likewise be identified with InOIn³⁴ and InOH,²⁹ respectively.

Restoring the wavelength of the photolyzing radiation to ca. 365 nm led to the extinction of the absorption at 1518 cm⁻¹ and the partial recovery of intensity by the absorptions associated with **1b**. By contrast, there was no spectroscopic sign suggesting the regeneration of **5b**.

Similar experiments were also carried out with D_2 in place of H₂. Again, there was no hint of any reaction between the primary guest species until the matrix was irradiated with light at $\lambda = \text{ca. }365 \text{ nm}$. The four signals at 1079.1, 954.8, 848, and 800.0 cm⁻¹ characteristic of **1b** were all observed to shift to lower wavenumber, their counterparts occurring at 815.1 (H/D = 1.3239:1), 693.1 (1.3776:1), 612 (1.3856:1), and 582.3 cm⁻¹ (1.3739:1), respectively. This behavior contrasted with the wavenumber invariance of the bands registered not only by HInOH but also by **5b**. Hence **5b**, like HInOH, must be presumed to be the product of a reaction that involves not H₂

⁽³²⁾ Himmel, H.-J.; Downs, A. J.; Greene, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9793–9807.

⁽³³⁾ Himmel, H.-J.; Downs, A. J.; Greene, T. M. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 396– 407.

⁽³⁴⁾ Hinchcliffe, A. J.; Ogden, J. S. J. Phys. Chem. 1973, 77, 2537-2544.

(or D₂) but some other molecule, H₂O being the obvious candidate. Switching to photolysis wavelengths in excess of 450 nm caused the decay of the bands due to both **5b** and the deuterated version of **1b** and the appearance of new bands. The most prominent of these, located at 996.2 cm⁻¹, could be recognized as belonging to InD (H/D = 1.3927:1),⁸ but a weaker feature at 1387.4 cm⁻¹ confirmed that InH was also formed. The only sign of a deuterated version of **3b** was a very weak band at 1094.6 cm⁻¹ which appeared to correlate with the 1518 cm⁻¹ band of the normal version (H/D = 1.3868:1).

In a third series of experiments HD took the place of H₂ or D_2 . The IR spectra of the resulting matrixes showed, after photolysis at $\lambda = ca.365$ nm, three bands that could be assigned to the HD version of 1b. These occurred at 899.6, 630.1, and 605.4 cm^{-1} , the first being relatively intense and the other two very weak. Of the HD version of 3b, unfortunately, there was no obvious hint. Experiments with a roughly equimolar mixture of H₂ and D₂ gave rise to IR spectra that were effectively a superposition of those recorded with H₂ and D₂ taken separately. Hence it appeared that only one molecule of H_2 (or D_2) was involved in the primary step, which we infer to be concerted addition to In_2 to form **1b**. That the product **5b** originates in the reaction of In₂ with H₂O was also confirmed by carrying out an experiment in which the H₂O content of the H₂-doped matrix gas was deliberately made about 5 times greater than normal. The IR spectrum of the photolyzed matrix then showed a marked increase in the intensities of the bands due to 5b relative to those due to 1b, suggesting that 5b was the dominant product of photolysis at $\lambda = ca.365$ nm.

Discussion

The main IR features observed to develop and that can be traced to the reaction of Ga₂ or In₂ (M₂) with dihydrogen will be shown to arise from products of three types, viz., M(μ -H)₂M (**1a,b**), H₂MM (M = Ga, **2a**), and HMMH (**3a,b**). The corresponding reaction with water gives a single product, M(μ -H)(μ -OH)M (**5a,b**). Although the binary hydrides have been the subjects of quantum chemical studies,^{19,20} experimental evidence of these molecules has been limited previously to Ga(μ -H)₂Ga (identified in the earlier matrix studies of the reactions between gallium and H₂)⁷ and M₂(H)OH, where M = Ga or In (identified somewhat speculatively in similar studies of the reactions of group 13 metal atoms with H₂O).²⁹

The observed IR signatures of each of the primary products **1a** and **1b** formed either thermally on deposition (in the case of **1a**) or on photolysis at $\lambda = \text{ca.} 365 \text{ nm}$ (in the case of **1b**) are suggestive of relatively symmetrical molecules in which the hydrogen atoms function exclusively as *bridging* ligands. Thus, the most intense absorptions occur at wavenumbers (1002 and 954.8 cm⁻¹ for **1a** and **1b**, respectively) much lower than those associated with the stretching of terminal Ga–H or In–H bonds (>1387 cm⁻¹).^{3,4,8,9} In the case of **1a**, the wavenumber is also substantially lower than those of the IR-active Ga(μ -H)₂Ga stretching modes of digallane, H₂Ga(μ -H)₂GaH₂ (1273 and 1202 cm⁻¹).¹ The most plausible interpretation of the experimental results taken alone is that **1a** and **1b** are the dihydrido-bridged molecules Ga(μ -H)₂Ga and In(μ -H)₂In, respectively, i.e., with structure A.

The formation of **2a** by visible photolysis of **1a** and the reversal of this process under the action of near-UV or near-IR



Figure 6. Geometries of the different M₂H₂ isomers.

light give persuasive grounds for believing that **2a** is a second isomer of Ga₂H₂. In that case, the appearance of two bands of comparable intensity in the region associated with stretching fundamentals of terminal Ga–H bonds suggests that **2a** is H₂GaGa, i.e., structure C. The likely symmetry of the molecule and weak vibrational coupling of two ν (Ga–H) modes not involving a common metal atom tend to rule out HGaGaH (structure B, C_{2h}), whereas the monohydrido-bridged isomer Ga(μ -H)GaH (structure D, C_s) carries but a single terminal Ga–H bond.

Since **3a** and **3b** are also formed on visible photolysis of **1a** and **1b**, to which they revert with an appropriate change of photolysis conditions, it appears that they too are isomerically distinct forms of M_2H_2 . There is only one high-energy IR signal to work from, but its wavenumber (1686.1 cm⁻¹ for **3a** and 1518 cm⁻¹ for **3b**) points to the presence of at least one terminal M(II)–H bond. The most obvious molecule would then be HMMH (i.e., with the structure B), but the singly hydridobridged form HM(μ -H)M (structure D) cannot be excluded on the relatively sparse spectroscopic evidence available to us.

With only two bands as reporters, the compounds **5a** and **5b** formed, it appears, from the photoinduced reaction of M₂ with H₂O are less easy to identify, but the prominent features at 951 and 914 cm⁻¹ for M = Ga and In, respectively, are again strongly suggestive of ν (M–H–M) stretching vibrations. The absence of any bands at significantly higher wavenumbers makes it unlikely that **5a** and **5b** contain terminal M–H bonds (cf. HMOH).²⁹ Hence a possible formulation would be M(μ -H)(μ -OH)M with bridging hydrido and hydroxo functions, as previously suggested by Margrave et al.²⁹

Calculations: (i) $M(\mu-H)_2M$. Detailed quantum mechanical calculations predict a minimum for the M2H2 molecule corresponding to a singlet ground state having the planar, cyclic structure A conforming to D_{2h} symmetry (see Figure 6). The calculated dimensions, listed in Table 3, are in satisfactory agreement with the results of earlier calculations by SCF methods.^{19,20} The M····M distances are thus expected to be more than 0.3 Å longer than those in the corresponding M_2 dimers each in their triplet electronic ground states, but nearly equal to that for M = Ga and shorter than that for M = In when the M₂ dimers are each in their first excited singlet states. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of $In(\mu-H)_2In$ has a_{σ} symmetry, whereas the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) formed by the p orbitals on each of the metal atoms perpendicular to the plane of the molecule has b_{3u} symmetry. The HOMO-LUMO gap is 269.9 kJ mol⁻¹.

Table 3. Comparison between IR Spectra Observed and Calculated for $M(\mu-H)_2M$, $M(\mu-D)_2M$, and $M(\mu-H)(\mu-D)M$ (M = Ga or In)^a

M(μ-H) ₂ M		M(<i>u</i> -D) ₂ M		Μ(μ-H)(μ-D)Μ		
obs	calc ^b	obs	calc ^b	obs	calc ^b	
M = Ga						
1220 ^c	1231.6 (0)	860 ^c	871.6 (0)	1112^{c}	1114.2 (47)	v_1 (a _g)
175 ^c	190.1 (0)	175 ^c	190.0 (0)	175^{c}	190.1 (0)	$v_2(a_g)$
880	920.0 (0)	638.4	653.2 (0)	675.7	686.1 (286)	v_3 (b _{3g})
1002	1032.2 (1946)	728	735.4 (988)	953	984.2 (1181)	$v_4 (b_{1u})$
906.5	865.6 (213)	653.6	616.7 (108)	676 ^c	679.4 (114)	$v_5 (b_{2u})$
d	200.7 (18)	d	143.0 (9)	d	166.7 (14)	$\nu_{6} (b_{3u})$
			M = In			
1066.0°	1134.2 (0)	d	802.5 (0)	d	1028.1 (55)	v_1 (a _g)
124.3 ^c	140.8 (0)	122.0°	140.7 (0)	d	140.8 (0)	$v_2(a_g)$
848	884.6 (0)	611.9	627.0 (0)	630.1	669.4 (249)	v_3 (b _{3g})
954.8	1048.5 (2268)	693.1	744.9 (1145)	899.6	983.3 (1457)	$v_4 (b_{1u})$
800.0	808.1 (244)	582.3	574.1 (123)	605.4	632.0 (129)	ν_{5} (b _{2u})
d	332.9 (6)	d	236.5 (3)	d	288 (5)	$v_{6}(b_{3u})$

^{*a*} Wavenumbers are given in reciprocal centimeters. ^{*b*} B3PW91, symmetry D_{2h} . Ga(μ -H)₂Ga: Ga····Ga, 3.0425 Å; Ga–H, 1.8767 Å; Ga–H–Ga, 108.3°. In(μ -H)₂In: In···In, 3.4082 Å; In–H, 2.0741 Å; In–H–In, 110.5°. Intensities (kilometers per mole) are given in parentheses. ^{*c*} Estimated value from a combination band harmonically corrected on the basis of a normal coordinate analysis (\pm 5 cm⁻¹). ^{*d*} Too weak to be detected or out of the range of detection in our experiments.

The triplet potential energy surface for M_2H_2 also finds a minimum corresponding to a cyclic, planar structure but at an energy 106.6 (M = Ga) or 132.5 kJ mol⁻¹ (M = In) higher than that of the singlet state. Here the M····M distances are shorter and the M–H–M angles are tighter.

Under D_{2h} symmetry, the six vibrational fundamentals of the $M(\mu-H)_2M$ molecule span the representations $2a_g + b_{1u} + b_{2u}$ $+ b_{3g} + b_{3u}$ so that only three $(b_{1u} + b_{2u} + b_{3u})$ are active in IR absorption. Calculations of the vibrational properties of the singlet molecules in their optimized geometries anticipate an IR spectrum dominated by a single absorption corresponding to the b_{1u} ring-stretching mode at 1020–1100 cm⁻¹. This should be accompanied by a second absorption weaker by an order of magnitude corresponding to the b_{2u} ring-stretching mode at 790-900 cm⁻¹. Weaker still and at risk of escaping detection in practice is the b_{3u} ring-puckering mode expected at 200-340 cm⁻¹. The IR spectra of the triplet molecules are each predicted to display a similar intensity pattern but for absorptions now shifted to appreciably different wavenumbers (Ga2H2 1147.5, 449.2, and 252.1 cm⁻¹; In₂H₂ 981.8, 339.7, and 275.1 cm^{-1}).

(ii) **HMMH.** The singlet potential energy surface for M_2H_2 molecules includes a second minimum corresponding to structure B featuring a central M–M unit and terminal M–H bonds conforming to C_{2h} symmetry (Figure 6). The calculated dimensions are given in Table 4. The potential minimum is calculated to lie 47.5 or 74.5 kJ mol⁻¹ above that for M(μ -H)₂M according to whether M = Ga or In, respectively, predictions in satisfactory agreement with earlier results.^{19,20}

Under C_{2h} symmetry the six normal modes span the representations $3a_g + 1a_u + 2b_u$. Once again there are three IR-active modes $(1a_u + 2b_u)$, but the calculations forecast high intensity for only one of these, the antisymmetric ν (M–H) vibration (b_u) occurring at 1820–1850 cm⁻¹ (M = Ga) and 1515–1540 cm⁻¹ (M = In). With intensities about 2 orders of magnitude smaller than this, the other two modes are likely to occur at wavenumbers <200 cm⁻¹ and to be too weak to be seen under the present experimental conditions.

Table 4.	Comparison	between	IR Spectra	Observed an	d
Calculate	d for HMMH,	DMMD,	and HMMD	(M = Ga or I)	n)ª

HMMH		DMMD		HMMD		
obs	calc ^b	obs	calc ^b	obs	calc ^b	
			M = Ga			
с	1708.9 (0)	С	1218.3 (0)	d	1224.5 (255)	ν_1 (a _g)
с	502.8 (0)	С	363.8 (0)	d	442.3 (5)	$v_2(a_g)$
С	163.3 (0)	С	162.7 (0)	d	163.1 (0.4)	v_3 (a_g)
d	223.6 (24)	d	159.3 (12)	d	194.8 (18)	v_4 (a_u)
1686.1	1727.7 (1046)	1206.4	1230.9 (531)	d	1718.4 (534)	v_5 (b _u)
d	186.2 (53)	d	132.7 (27)	d	151.9 (34)	$\nu_{6}(b_{u})$
			M = In			
с	1505.5 (0)	С	1070.0 (0)	d	1074.1 (300)	v_1 (a _g)
С	406.2 (0)	С	291.3 (0)	d	356.4 (4)	$v_2(a_g)$
С	93.1 (0)	С	92.8 (0)	d	92.9 (0.02)	$v_3(a_g)$
d	168.0 (18)	d	119.3 (9)	d	145.8 (14)	v_4 (a_u)
1518	1517.9 (1230)	1081	1078.4 (621)	d	1511.8 (625)	$v_5 (b_u)$
d	152.2 (36)	d	108.1 (18)	d	123.9 (23)	$\nu_{6}\left(b_{u}\right)$

^a Wavenumbers are given in reciprocal centimeters.

Table 5. Comparison between IR Spectra Observed and Calculated for GaGaH₂, GaGaD₂, and GaGaHD and IR Spectra Calculated for InInH₂, InInD₂, and InInHD^a

MMH ₂		MMD ₂		MMHD		
obs	calc ^b	obs	calc ^b	obs	calc ^b	
			M = Ga			
1752.1	1835.8 (509)	1260.1	1304.3 (258)	1269.6	1312.1 (215)	$v_1(a_1)$
752	770.0 (367)	540.3	549.7 (179)	655.4	672.0 (276)	$\nu_2(a_1)$
с	179.3 (13)	С	178.1 (13)	С	177.3 (14)	$\nu_{3}(a_{1})$
С	359.2 (73)	С	260.6 (37)	С	314.6 (55)	$v_4 (b_1)$
1765.1	1847.7 (370)	1275.8	1318.9 (190)	1758	1841.4 (447)	$v_5 (b_2)$
С	224.4 (23)	С	161.4 (11)	С	185.7 (14)	ν_{6} (b ₂)
			M = In			
	1601.7 (607)		1136.0 (307)		1600.5 (492)	v_1 (a _g)
	652.3 (510)		464.0 (253)		568.3 (385)	v_2 (a _g)
	118.9 (6)		118.5 (6)		118.6 (6)	v_3 (a _g)
	304.5 (74)		218.8 (37)		265.1 (55)	v_4 (a _u)
	1599.9 (355)		1137.9 (180)		1137.5 (232)	$v_5 (b_u)$
	179.0 (17)		128.4 (8)		146.8 (11)	ν_{6} (b _u)

^{*a*} Wavenumbers are given in reciprocal centimeters. ^{*b*} B3PW91, symmetry $C_{2\nu}$. GaGaH₂: Ga–Ga, 2.7091 Å; Ga–H, 1.6001 Å; H–Ga–H, 109.9°. InInH₂: In–In, 3.1211 Å; In–H, 1.7767 Å; H–In–H, 109.5°. Intensities (kilometers per mole) are given in parentheses. ^{*c*} Too weak to be detected or out of the range of detection in our experiments.

(iii) **MMH₂.** As noted previously,^{19,20} there is a third minimum in the singlet potential energy surface. This corresponds to a mixed-valence isomer in which the two H atoms are bound not to different M atoms, as in structure B, but to the *same* M atom of an M–M unit, as in structure C. The optimum geometry is then planar so that the molecule assumes C_{2v} symmetry (Figure 6 and Table 5). Calculations past and present are agreed that this isomer is somewhat more stable than the *trans-C*_{2h} one (by 49.7 and 30.0 kJ mol⁻¹ for M = Ga and In, respectively, according to our MP2 estimates).

The six vibrational fundamentals of MMH₂ ($3a_1 + 1b_1 + 2b_2$) are all IR-active. However, three of them are expected to give absorptions appreciably more intense than the rest. Two of these correspond to the ν (M–H) modes with predicted wavenumbers at 1810–1850 cm⁻¹ (M = Ga) and 1600–1645 cm⁻¹ (M = In), i.e., 80–100 cm⁻¹ higher than for the analogous modes of the HMMH isomers. The third, associated with the MH₂ scissoring motion, is likely to occur in the neighborhood of 750–775 and 650–695 cm⁻¹ for M = Ga and In, respectively.

(iv) $HM(\mu-H)M$. Intermediate in stability between HMMH and MMH₂ is a fourth isomer with the monohydrido-bridged

Table 6. Calculated Dimensions, Wavenumbers, and IR Intensities for HM(μ -H)M (M = Ga or In)

	· ,	
property	HGa(µ-H)Ga	Hln(µ-H)ln
symmetry	C_s	C_s
	Dimensions ^a	
M(1) - M(2)	2.6258	3.0674
$M(1)-H_b$	1.8729	2.1150
$M(2)-H_b$	1.9290	2.0692
$M(1)-H_t$	1.6137	1.7987
$M(1) - H_{b-}M(2)$	87.4	94.3
$H_t - M(1) - H_b$	102.9	101.4
	Vibrations ^b	
ν_1 (a')	1753.3 (731)	1536.7 (831)
ν_2 (a')	1164.7 (347)	1018.8 (406)
ν_3 (a')	822.3 (436)	775.1 (585)
ν_4 (a')	400.8 (11)	366.2 (5)
ν_5 (a')	168.6 (9)	102.3 (5)
$\nu_6 (a'')$	197.3 (13)	203.5 (12)

^{*a*} DFT (B3PW91) calculations. Distances are given in angstroms; bond angles are given in degrees. b = bridging, t = terminal. ^{*b*} Wavenumbers are given in reciprocal centimeters. IR intensities (in parentheses) are given in kilometers per mole.

structure D, and also having a singlet ground state. Figure 6 illustrates the optimized geometry deduced on the basis of our quantum chemical calculations. Bond distances given in Table 6 (in angstroms) compare well with the previous SCF estimates.^{19,20} According to our MP2 calculations, the local PE minimum defining the monobridged isomer HM(μ -H)M lies 33.5 and 55.2 kJ mol⁻¹ above the minimum defining the dibridged isomer M(μ -H)₂M for M = Ga and In, respectively.

The IR spectra simulated for the HM(μ -H)M isomers comprise all six fundamental transitions (5a' + 1a''), of which only three appear with substantial intensity. Stretching of the unique terminal M–H bond accounts for one of these with a calculated wavenumber of 1730–1805 and 1530–1560 cm⁻¹ (cf. HMMH). Stretching of the M–H–M bridge accounts for the other two; in this case the calculated wavenumbers are 1140–1165 and 805–825 cm⁻¹ for M = Ga and 1015–1070 and 750–775 cm⁻¹ for M = In [cf. M(μ -H)₂M].

(v) M₂(H)OH. According to our quantum chemical calculations, the most stable form of this molecule is singlet M(μ -H)-(μ -OH)M (structure E) with bridging H and OH ligands forming a planar, cyclic array with $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry. M—H distances are calculated to be 1.8908 and 2.0696 Å and M—O distances to be 2.0070 and 2.1352 Å for M = Ga and In, respectively. The IR spectra predicted for the molecules are each dominated by a single absorption at 990.0 and 996.7 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the b₂ Ga—H—Ga and In—H—In stretching motion, respectively, and with an intensity nearly 20 times that of any other mode. This feature should therefore be located some 40–50 cm⁻¹ to low wavenumber of its b_{1u} counterpart in the spectrum of M(μ -H)₂M.



Assignment of the Matrix Products: $M(\mu-H)_2M$ [M = Ga (1a) or In (1b)]. Figure 7 compares the IR spectra of each of



Figure 7. (a) Comparison between the observed IR absorptions of species **1a** and those calculated for $Ga(\mu-H)_2Ga$, HGaGaH, GaGaH₂, and HGa(μ -H)Ga. (b) Comparison between the observed IR absorptions of species **1b** and those calculated for In(μ -H)₂In, HInInH, InInH₂, and HIn(μ -H)In.

the primary products **1a** and **1b** with those modeled for the molecules $M(\mu-H)_2M$, HMMH, MMH₂, and HM(μ -H)M, where M = Ga and In, respectively. Hence it is evident that the experimental results are consistent with only one of these isomers, namely, $M(\mu-H)_2M$. The intense IR absorption at 1002 cm⁻¹ and the much weaker one at 906.5 cm⁻¹ then represent the b_{1u} and b_{2u} ring-stretching modes, respectively, of the Ga(μ -H)₂Ga molecule, with the corresponding features of In(μ -H)₂In occurring at 954.8 and 800.0 cm⁻¹. How closely the molecules parallel each other in their observed spectroscopic properties is underlined by the finding that each displays three other weak bands that remain to be accounted for; these occur at 2200, 1176, and 880 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of the gallium compound and at 2020.8, 1079.1, and 848 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of its indium analogue.

The only realistic interpretation of the two high-frequency transitions in each spectrum is that they represent combination modes, that at 2200 or 2020.8 cm⁻¹ arising from v_1 (a_g) + v_3 (b_{1u}) and that at 1176 or 1079.1 cm⁻¹ from v_2 (a_g) + v_3 (b_{1u}). In close proximity to the b_{1u} fundamental, the latter undoubtedly gains enhanced intensity through Fermi resonance. If these assignments are correct, it follows that v_1 (a_g) = 1220 cm⁻¹ and v_2 (a_g) = 174 cm⁻¹ for Ga(μ -H)₂Ga and that v_1 (a_g) = 1066.0 cm⁻¹ and v_2 (a_g) = 124.3 cm⁻¹ for In(μ -H)₂In.

No such interpretation offers itself for the remaining feature at 880 cm⁻¹ [Ga(μ -H)₂Ga] or 848 cm⁻¹ [In(μ -H)₂In]. Instead we propose that this arises from the b_{3g} ring-stretching mode made active in IR absorption in the unsymmetrical isotopomers ⁶⁹Ga(μ -H)₂⁷¹Ga (48%) and ¹¹³In(μ -H)₂¹¹⁵In (8.2%) and intensified through coupling with the b_{2u} fundamental, which now assumes the same irreducible representation (b₂). To substantiate this idea, we have performed a calculation based on a harmonic potential, fitting the potential constants empirically to the wavenumbers observed (a) for ν_1 , ν_2 , ν_4 , and ν_5 of the symmetrical species ⁶⁹Ga₂H₂ and ⁶⁹Ga₂D₂ and (b) for ν_1 (952

cm⁻¹), v_4 (676 cm⁻¹), and v_5 (1112 cm⁻¹) of ⁶⁹Ga₂HD. With these constraints, the b_{3g} ring-stretching mode of ${}^{69}\text{Ga}_2\text{H}_2$ is then predicted to occur near 887 cm⁻¹, i.e., encouragingly close to the weak absorption observed near 880 cm⁻¹. We then used the model to predict isotope shifts and eigenvectors for the other ⁶⁹Ga⁷¹Ga- and ⁷¹Ga₂-containing isotopic species. Hence it emerged, first, that the ${}^{69}\text{Ga}_2/{}^{71}\text{Ga}_2$ shift of ν_2 is in good agreement with the observed value (2.5 vs 2.7 cm^{-1}) and, second, that the predicted H/D shift is very small (less than 0.2 cm⁻¹). On this basis we can assign the weak features near 1128 cm⁻¹ for Ga₂HD and 906 cm⁻¹ for Ga₂D₂ to the respective ν_2 + ν_4 combinations, while the weak band near 849 cm⁻¹ for Ga₂HD would be appropriate for the $\nu_2 + \nu_5$ one. Next we can make use of the calculated eigenvectors for the ⁶⁹Ga⁷¹GaH₂ isotopomer to predict (assuming the harmonic approximation in both mechanical and electrical terms) the ratio of the IR intensities, $I(\nu_3)/I(\nu_4)$, for this molecule possessing as it does not D_{2h} but $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry. With due allowance for the statistical abundance of the isotopomer (48%), this leads us to expect an intensity for ν_3 about 1% that for ν_4 (which gives rise to the most intense band in the spectrum). The experimental finding that the band at 880 cm $^{-1}$ has an intensity 1.5% \pm 0.5% that of the band at 1002 cm⁻¹ bears out our interpretation, in the case of Ga₂H₂ at least.

For Ga(μ -H)₂Ga and In(μ -H)₂In, therefore, all but one of the six vibrational fundamentals have been located, albeit with varying degrees of assurance, on the basis of the observed spectra. The results are in every case consistent not only with the effects of deuteration, whether partial in M(μ -H)(μ -D)M or complete in M(μ -D)₂M, where these can be observed, but also with the vibrational properties forecast by the quantum chemical calculations.

H₂MM [M = Ga (2a)]. Product **2a** is characterized by strong absorptions at 1765.1, 1752.1, and 752 cm⁻¹, enabling it to be identified as the isomer H₂GaGa. As revealed in Table 5, DFT calculations anticipate well the wavenumbers, intensity pattern, and isotopic shifts displayed by the molecule. The experiments failed, however, to give any sign of the corresponding indium species, H₂InIn.

HMMH [M = Ga (3a) or In (3b)]. Somewhat more problematic is the identification of the products 3a and 3b formed on visible photolysis of 1a and 1b, respectively. Unfortunately neither is characterized by more than a single, relatively weak absorption in the high-wavenumber region of the IR spectrum. The wavenumbers of 1686.1 (3a) and 1518 cm^{-1} (3b) point to the stretching vibration of a terminal M(II)-H unit. The two most likely options are HMMH and HM(μ -H)M; the ν (M-H) modes of MMH₂ are expected to occur at wavenumbers significantly higher than those observed for 3a and 3b (see Tables 4 and 5), and the spectra should display a second feature of comparable intensity at lower wavenumber. In the circumstances, the observation of a single IR band appears to be more consistent with HMMH than with $HM(\mu-H)M$, for which transitions of appreciable intensity would be anticipated in the region associated with $\nu(M-H-M)$ vibrations (800-1100 cm⁻¹). This contrasts with the *trans*- C_{2h} HMMH molecule, which is expected to be characterized by a single strong IR absorption at high wavenumber due to the b_u ν (M–H) fundamental and no other at wavenumbers > 200 **Scheme 1.** Thermally and Photolytically Activated Reactions Taking Place in Ar Matrixes (a) between Ga_2 and H_2 and (b) between In_2 and H_2



^b B3PW91, symmetry C_{2h} . HGaGaH: Ga–Ga, 2.5848 Å; Ga–H, 1.6261 Å; Ga–Ga–H, 121.3°. HININH: In–In, 3.0596 Å; In–H, 1.8136 Å; In– In–H, 120.2°. Intensities (kilometers per mole) are given in parentheses. ^c Inactive in IR absorption. ^d Too weak to be detected or out of the range of detection in our experiments.

 cm^{-1} , in keeping with the apparent simplicity of the spectra due to **3a** and **3b**.

 $M(\mu-H)(\mu-OH)M$ [M = Ga (5a) or In(5b)]. The products 5a and 5b are most plausibly assigned the structures $M(\mu-H)$ -(μ -OH)M on the basis of the circumstances of the experiments and of their observed IR signatures. Moreover, the DFT calculations find no M₂(H)OH isomer better able than this to account for the experimental spectra. The prominent IR absorptions at 951 and 914.0 cm⁻¹ are then the obvious candidates for the antisymmetric ν (Ga-H-Ga) and ν (In-H-In) mode, ν_7 (b₂), respectively.

Reaction Pathways. Scheme 1 formulates a reaction scheme for the formation, isomerization, and decomposition of the singlet $M(\mu-H)_2M$ molecules. Although there is much common ground between Ga₂ and In₂ in their reactions with both H₂ and H₂O, one notable difference is to be found in the activation of the reactions: Ga₂ reacts spontaneously, even at low temperatures, whereas In₂ requires relatively high energy photoexcitation ($\lambda = ca. 365$ nm).

The general consensus appears now to be that Ga₂ and In₂ each have triplet ground states $[X^3\Pi(0_u^-)]$. This is also the case on the evidence of our quantum chemical calculations, which impute such a state to both molecules with bond distances (in the order MP2/B3PW91/B3LYP) of 2.7370/2.7147/2.7491 Å and 3.1342/3.1485/3.1746 Å for Ga₂ and In₂, respectively, in good agreement with the results of earlier calculations.^{28,35} At 169.1/162.3/155.1 and 106.0/103.5/98.2 cm⁻¹, the corresponding vibrational wavenumbers also tally satisfactorily with the

⁽³⁵⁾ Balasubramanian, K. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 6786-6790.

experimental values of 180 and 118 cm⁻¹ reported by Froben et al.²⁸ By contrast, the singlet state (${}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$) is characterized by a longer bond (3.0463/3.0251/3.0642 and 3.5117/3.4799/3.5082 Å, respectively) and rather lower vibrational wavenumber (137.2/135.7/131.1 and 86.7/90.8/87.2 cm⁻¹). Of particular interest is the triplet—singlet energy gap, which we estimate to be 62.6 and 50.6 kJ mol⁻¹ for Ga₂ and In₂, respectively. Earlier calculations suggest a value of 56.7 kJ mol⁻¹ for Ga₂.³⁵ It has even been suggested²⁷ on the evidence of the electronic absorption spectra that singlet Al₂ and Ga₂ molecules are present in the matrixes formed by trapping the metal vapors with an excess of a noble gas, triplet—singlet excitation being achieved possibly by the light emitted by the furnace.

As indicated in the previous section, the $M(\mu-H)_2M$ molecules each have a singlet ground state, the triplet state lying 117.6 and 129.0 kJ mol⁻¹ to higher energy. Hence we calculate that the reaction of the triplet M_2 molecule with H_2 to form triplet $M(\mu-H)_2M$ is weakly endothermic with energies of +19.4 and $+17.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, whereas the reaction energy for the formation of singlet M(μ -H)₂M is -98.2 and -109.4 kJ mol⁻¹ for M = Ga and In, respectively. By contrast, the corresponding reactions of singlet M_2 are all exothermic, the energies being -17.4 and -30.1 kJ mol⁻¹ for the formation of triplet M(μ -H)₂M and -135.0 and -157.1 kJ mol⁻¹ for the formation of the singlet molecule. A tenable scheme for the reaction of ground-state M_2 with H_2 would entail the initial formation of $M(\mu-H)_2M$ in its excited triplet state, followed by quenching to the singlet ground state with the help of the matrix cage. According to our DFT calculations, however, the initial step would not be expected to proceed spontaneously.

This accords with the matrix behavior of $In_2 + H_2$ but not of $Ga_2 + H_2$, which does appear to react spontaneously, even at matrix temperatures. The kinetic isotope effect observed for the $Ga_2 + D_2$ reaction implies, however, that the second reaction does present a small activation barrier, which is readily overcome by the parent molecule H_2 and even HD but not by the totally deuterated one. Rough estimations based on differences in zero-point energies would bracket a value between 35 and 44 kJ mol⁻¹. It may be noted that the IR radiation energy necessary to activate the $Ga_2 + D_2$ reaction ($4500-3200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$)

corresponds to values between 53 and 38 kJ mol⁻¹, in relatively good agreement with the estimated triplet—singlet energy gap of 56.7 kJ mol⁻¹ for Ga₂.³⁵ This effect seems to rule out the possibility that a fraction of the Ga₂ molecules present in the matrix is in the ${}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state and so has access to a strongly exothermic reaction channel leading more or less directly to singlet Ga(μ -H)₂Ga. That In₂ does not follow suit, requiring photoactivation for its reaction with H₂, would then be attributable to an increase in the energy barrier that parallels the increase in the triplet—singlet gap in In₂ compared with Ga₂.

Any attempt to explain the difference in reactivity between Ga₂ and In₂ needs also to take account of other low-lying triplet states. In the ${}^{3}\Pi_{u}$ ground state the unpaired electrons occupy different orbitals to give the configuration $\sigma_g^2 \sigma_u^2 \pi_g^1 \pi_u^1$. Very close in energy is a second triplet state, ${}^{3}\Sigma_{g}{}^{-},$ corresponding to the configuration $\sigma_g^2 \sigma_u^2 \pi_u^2$. For Ga₂ the ${}^3\Sigma_g^- - {}^3\Pi_u$ energy gap is estimated to be minuscule (ca. 2 kJ mol⁻¹), but for In_2 it is rather larger (10.5 kJ mol⁻¹). The ${}^{3}\Sigma_{g}^{-}$ state with its increased electron density in the frontier π_u orbitals may well be better adapted than the ${}^{3}\Pi_{u}$ one to attractive interaction with the H₂ molecule, thereby reducing the barrier opposing addition. In that case, too, differences of energy and/or lifetime could be important in dictating the conditions of reaction. Without a fuller knowledge of the Ga₂ and In₂ dimers, however, it is impossible to come to any more definite conclusions about their relative reactivities.

Acknowledgment. We thank (i) the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support and the award of a Habilitation grant to H.-J.H., (ii) CNRS for support of the research at Paris (L.M.), and (iii) the EPSRC for support of the research at Oxford (A.J.D.).

Supporting Information Available: Three figures showing IR difference spectra, orbitals of $In(\mu-H)_2In$, and geometries of $M_2H(OH)$ species, and two tables showing properties of $M(\mu-H)(\mu-OH)M$, $HM(\mu-OH)M$, and HMMOH from DFT calculations (PDF). This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA0122795